
SUE SCHULER: Thank you. Okay, Rick.

RICK WILHELM: Thanks, Sue. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone. Today is 14th May, 2020, this the regularly scheduled meeting of the RDAP Working Group. Thanks everybody for joining, I'm hosting the call today.

And we have a good turnout today, and thanks everybody for joining. Didn't get any regrets via email, thanks for the EPDP members [kicking] over on the back-to-back call. We've got a pretty light agenda today as we have recently, so we should be relatively quick I think.

So, we can go ahead and get started here. I sent out the agenda and content about an hour ago, sorry that's a little bit late. I was trying to get a little bit more into it with the URLs but didn't quite get that done. Anybody have any comments on the agenda? We will have time for any other business at the end, if anybody has any other topics, but a quick pause for any agenda bashing.

Seeing none, let's go into usual discussion on implementation status. Real quick check of the URL status. Registry file was stable basically over the last month, still suck at 824 URLs and has been basically stable since almost the beginning of the year. The registrar file—a slight update in the last two weeks—went up by five to 2,328 registrar IDs with URLs. And that's up a little bit from 2,323 on April 23rd, so a few more registrar URLs going in there. Good to see those numbers moving a little bit. The

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

registry file, notably stable. So, anybody have any questions or comments about that? I thought I saw a hand flash scrolling but then it went down, oh there it is. Mark SV's hand is blinking. Mark SV, please come to the mic.

MARK SVANCAREK: Hi, so I probably should've been paying more attention to this in the past. So, we're tracking the number of URL submissions. Are you also tracking whether those are actually legit? Like there were no transposition errors in them, that there actually is a server at the other end, that the server actually performs according to profile and stuff like that? Or at this stage are we just tracking the existence of the URLs? Thanks.

RICK WILHELM: Yeah, thanks Mark. Good question. All I'm doing is I load that file every time I send out this email and I go into my browser and I hit Ctrl+F and I search for the term "HTTP" and I see how many times it shows up and I write down that number. So, that's all I do.

MARK SVANCAREK: That's agile, baby.

RICK WILHELM: It's somewhere between agile and lazy. Probably tending more toward the latter than the former. So, it's not doing any ... Thanks, Sarah, it's a waterfall. So, there could be gazintas and gazoutas of that 824. It might

be a different 824 than were in there, so it doesn't track that either. If I were a bit more ambitious, I would've written some scripting or whatever to download these things, throw them into a database, and do a bunch of processing, but I've got a day job. So, I don't. This is just to say there's a gross number of adds. If someone's really interested, they can go in and do some other digging on that. This is just meant to get a general sense of what's going on here. So, that gives a sense of what we're doing.

Hope that helps. A little bit of chat going on about other people could be doing that and I'm not attempting to try and track here exactly ... This also isn't any attempt at tracking compliance or anything like that. This is just meant for the group to give a sense for how it's going here. And what's interesting is that it's been largely stable with a general upward trend. So, that's sort of where we are. Okay, very good. Thanks, Mark.

So, quick one on old business. We've got this item that we've been just holding in our hopper here on RDAP source complexity. I didn't see any feedback from Jothan Frakes regarding any other feedback from registrars. We're just holding it around here. So, unless anybody has any other questions or comments about that this week, and I don't see Jothan on the phone with us, so we'll just let that one go. Pausing a moment to see if there's any hands. Seeing none.

On meeting planning, we're still bi-weekly and we won't be having a spot in ICANN 68, so that's still our same session status on meeting planning. Any questions or comments about meeting planning? Seeing none.

RDAP profile iteration. Last time we talked about getting a Google Doc version of the profile docs out and circulating, such that we could be doing some ... We'll just call it making some marginalia such that we could note areas in the documents that are likely to get edits when the IRT gets close to those. And I've got some draft versions on my Google Drive, I don't yet have them exactly the way that I want them. So, what I want to do is ... Well, actually, I've got them where I think I want them, but I want to be very sure that I've got version control over them before I send them out and get the permissions right on them. So, I should have that done in 24 to 48 hours after this meeting. I'll get those out on email so people can look at them and start doing that.

And then we'll also send out the URL to our issue tracking spreadsheet that Sarah magically dug up last week in a feat of retrieval that is still legendary within the RDAP Working Group's epic history. We're still surprised and astonished by that. So, I'll get those out on the wire shortly. Any questions or comments? Seeing none, we will move on.

I'm not sure who's been nominated to compose the epic poem in Sarah's honor. Someone might first want to take a whack at a haiku before they attempt the epic poem. I'm not sure who here is qualified to write a haiku. I've written a few in my day, but not any of them are any good.

So, with that as an intro, let's head over to the microwave. So, first over to the IRT, this has a little bit of a tie-in with the Google Doc versions of the soon-to-be annotated versions of the profile for areas that we might start doing some work. Who wants to give us an update on where the IRT is? Any hands? As the saying goes, not everyone all at once. Sarah

says, "I think no IRT work relevant to RDAP at this time." All right. So, that sounds like a pass on the IRT. Okay, that's fine, no problem.

And so, over on to EPDP Phase Two. Any update on phase two that we want to give here this week in this session of the RDAP Working Group? "Almost not relevant I think," says Mark SV. So, it sounds like nothing affects us here now and that's actually consistent with my understanding. EPDP is having all sorts of work going on, but not really that much that's anywhere near in the scope of what this is doing. While there are discussions in and around the SSAD and things like that, nothing that's anywhere near the technical side of things. It's all over on the other side of the spectrum, probably about as far away right now from the technology as we can get, and I think that's probably a fair enough summary. So, I don't think we need to burn the synapses of the folks in the EPDP on that one because it's pretty far away from what the RDAP Working Group is concerned about. So, I think we can let that sleeping dog lie, as the saying goes.

Why don't we flip it over to IT of Regex? An update there, I see we have Jim Galvin here with us. Jim, can I [inaudible] you into a quick update on Regex? Jim, you're still on mute if you're talking. Or he might not be talking. Okay. I think my mic is working. Is my mic working?

SARAH WYLD: Yes, I can hear you.

RICK WILHELM: Okay, very good. Sarah can hear me.

JIM GALVIN: Can you hear me?

RICK WILHELM: There we go.

JIM GALVIN: I'm sorry, it's me you're not hearing.

RICK WILHELM: There we go.

JIM GALVIN: My fault. Yes, [inaudible] operation, I know what I did. I won't do that again. Sorry, thanks Rick.

I have more of a question than I do an update for you. The issue for me speaking a bit as chair now as the Regex working group, I'm looking for some advice from this group. We have a number of action items that are kind of there. The group has kind of gotten into a little bit of a cadence, which is a really good thing, but in particular, I'm interested in how to move forward with the search mechanism and search feature of RDAP. I would think that there are folks in this group here who are interested in that. We need to generate some activity in Regex in trying to move forward on the document. It's kind of existed for a while, I know that there's a lot of discussion to be had about that mechanism and what's there. I don't know that we need to be talking about it here,

per say, except when we're ready maybe to test. This is a good place for inter-operability testing, I think, but I leave that to you, Rick.

But I am interested to see if folks have any comments or suggestions on how to really move that forward or at least consider this a call out to folks who would really like to move that work forward and would hope that there are folks here who really are interested in that and could participate in Regex to move searching along to have that discussion. It's a big part of other discussions in the ICANN arena and it'd be good to do that. So, anyway, sorry for going on a little bit long for a very short question, but thanks for your indulgence.

RICK WILHELM:

All right, very good. Thank you very much, Jim. Anybody have any comments that they'd care to offer on the topic? I'm looking for hands for folks who'd like to come to the mic. Not seeing any right now, so but one of the things we can do, Jim, is we can keep it on the docket and maybe folks can think about it. And maybe another thing that you might want to do if you'd like is you could pose a question to the list. That might be a way to kind of frame it around that. I could offer that as a suggestion to maybe help kind of maybe draw some discussion. Maybe that's a way to do it. And, obviously, in there you could include a link to the drafts and such, so. Okay, good question.

Anybody have any other questions or comments in and around IT effort? Or, Jim, is there any other updates related to Regex that you want to bring up?

JIM GALVIN: No, thanks. Nothing else to add that hasn't been said before. So, no fundamental change to call out.

RICK WILHELM: Okay, very good. All right, thank you very much. I can give a quick update on the RA and RAA amendment. The contracted parties provided a document that included what we call term sheet language over to ICANN staff and ICANN staff [has] a chance to look at that. Last Thursday the 7th, the two groups got together for a discussion in which the contracted party house representatives—I'll term—walked through the discussion, kind of went point-by-point and gave some explanation to staff about what the document is, a little bit more of the meanings behind it, a little bit of Q & A with staff. Staff basically took that along with the information that was in the document, took it away, and is working on formulating some of their thoughts and such.

And I don't think that I have the date ... I think the next meeting that we're having is booked for the 19th, so not this Thursday, but next Tuesday. I think that's what we're set up for. So, that work is moving along. The discussions were generally positive, lots of good Q & A and explanation going on in those discussions. So, that's sort of a quick update there.

But, no, I don't have any updates on timelines or anything like that, nor were there any firm decisions made. This is mostly an expository set of discussions. So, any questions about that? Seeing none.

Let's see, ICANN feedback on the NSP, possibility of changes to the NSP. I think I saw Karla here. I see Karla in the list. Karla, any updates from

ICANN IT? “Sadly, no. Sigh,” she says into the chat. So, no updates there. So, we’ll stand by for anything there from ICANN IT.

So, that brings us to the AOB, any other business item on the agenda. Anybody have any topics that they would care to add to the any other business? Things they’d like to bring up or discuss, any other questions or any walk-ons?

For those, by the way, that may have forgotten the acronym NSP is the Naming Services Portal and this is the issue where this is the validation of the data going into the portal to make sure that no bogus data gets into the IANA file, that’s kind of what this one is. Just as a reminder since that one’s been there for a while. Any other items on any other business? Not seeing any.

All right. I believe in looking at my diary that we have the meeting booked for two weeks from today. That’s 28th May by my calendar, I see that out on there.

And it seems like from the good timely turn out that we got that everyone is fully adapted to the new process whereby you got to find the password in the meeting invite and paste it into the Zoom, so great job all you ICANN Zoom veterans. Brilliant execution there. Thanks, Sue, for keeping the meeting invites updates and keeping us safe and secure and stable. And so, I think, Sue, you can take us out. So, thanks everybody and hope you’re all taking care and staying safe. Sue, you can wrap us up.

SUE SCHULER: Thanks, Rick. Julie, we can end the recording.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]