SUE SCHULER:	Great, thanks. Okay, Rick.

RICK WILHELM: Thanks, Sue. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone. Today is the 16th of April, 2020. This is Rick Wilhelm from Verisign and this is the RDAP Working Group for our regular scheduled meeting. Thanks to everyone for joining. We have sort of the usual crowd here today.

We've got regrets on the email from Jothan Frakes, he was able to comment on note that he is not going to be able to join. I sent out the note with the usual agenda, hopefully everybody had a chance to look at it. I will note that there was a bug in the agenda as written where my meeting numbers for the ICANN meetings were off by one. Sue quickly caught that and we're going to be talking about that. I had our meeting numbers at 67 and 66, but Sue kindly noted that and she adjusted that here on your screen. It is, of course, memorialized in email incorrectly.

So, we'll move right on. Any quick comments on the agenda? We will of course have an any other business topic as we get on. Any quick comments on the agenda? Hands of course are welcome. Seeing none, we will go ahead and move forward.

Let's first look at implementation status on the registry side. Our number dropped by one with the iteration of the file that moved on 3/28 to 4/01. I will note that the file hasn't moved in about two weeks because that is a 4/01, that is not a typo there. So, we'll see what

happens in the next couple of weeks when we next get back together. But that dropped by one to 824.

Over on the registrar side, we went up by 20 from March 24ᵗʰ to April 8ᵗʰ, and of course that could be moving again when the next version of the file gets published. But sort of a general upper trajectory of about 20 or so every time that we see this file iterate, so just gradual crawling upward. Any questions or comments on the URL counts?

Seeing none, we'll move on to registrar RDAP source complexity. Jothan, when he sent in a note, sent his regrets and noted there were no updates on this item. He's still continuing to look about and take feedback from anybody so we'll cover this one rather quickly here unless anybody has any comments.

Seeing none, and rocketing along, meeting planning. When we last met, ICANN 68 was very highly suspected to be virtual and now when we're meeting, ICANN 68 is officially virtual. And Sue informed me today via email that today would be the day when we need to either confirm or deny looking for a meeting slot for ICANN 68. My proposal, which we had talked about a little bit on the call last time we got together two weeks ago, would be that we would not ask for a meeting slot since we have both a standing tempo and that right now our docket is not that full. That we would step aside and let the meeting agenda flow more freely around us mostly for the sake of freeing up this group here, all of whom have a lot of involvement in other activities whether they be things like the EPDP or Transfer Scoping or other things like that. So, I see a plus one in the chat there from Sarah. Anybody else have any comments? Plus two from Marc Anderson, seeing a yes from Galvin in

the attendee list, plus one from Jody. I think that will about carry the day. Not seeing anyone objecting to that.

So, Sue, I think we will officially be not asking for a meeting slot, as suspected. And Marc notes in the chat that he plans to make the same suggestion for CPH Tech Ops. So, if you have any thoughts on that, feel free to send them over to Marc. Very good, thanks Marc for that. And Sarah will also support that regarding Tech Ops, she says. Very good.

And with our meeting tempo, I think that we'll keep it with our standing biweekly tempo. Good to keep it on everybody's calendars, keeps the slot open. And of course things can change rather quickly in the land of ICANN, as we know, and so if something does come up that this gives us a chance to be sure to get together, even though we of course pushing through this with a positively Rogerian tempo so far today.

Let's head over to the microwave because at least in the Eastern time zone it's getting close to lunch time. So, why don't we go to EPDP IRT first. Anybody want to comment? I see Marc Anderson with his hand up. Marc, please go ahead.

MARC ANDERSON: Thanks, Rick. I'll jump right in. The IRT, I have a little to update. We have not met in three weeks in the IRT. We do have our next meeting Wednesday of next week. So, not a whole lot of movement there. There's a couple things going on on the list, but very little activity and nothing really worth noting for this group. Unless anybody wants to add anything, I can roll right into EPDP Phase Two if you'd like.

RICK WILHELM: That would be great. Please go ahead.

MARC ANDERSON: All right. So, EPDP Phase Two. The group is reviewing public comments. So, the public comments for the initial report have all been submitted—well, I guess there are some outliers, some [inaudible] comments still. But we are rolling through each of the recommendations and trying to figure out what modifications are needed in order to account for public comments. It's kind of rough going, if I'm being honest, but it's a necessary part of the work. So we are chugging through the public comments.

Of note, the initial report addendum is still out for public comment. That closes May 5th and so the timeline has us trying to get through the initial report public comments by May 5th and then we'll pick back up with the public comments on the initial report addendum as our reward for finishing the initial report comments.

I don't know if this is particularly noteworthy for everybody on this group, but there has been discussions about timelines and whether or not the EPDP can and should continue our current timelines given the global pandemic and the impacts and pressures that everybody has on them as a result of that. I won't say a whole lot more on that other than that's a discussion going on in sort of a macro sense. I think that's at the high-level [inaudible] f. I know Sarah's on, if you want to add or correct anything I said.

RICK WILHELM: Well-covered as usual, she says. So, I think that is a testament to your excellent dissertation. Very good. And a plus one from Roger there. Okay, good stuff. Thank you, Marc. Anybody else have any questions or comments for Marc Anderson on the topic of either the IRT or Phase Two? Very good.

Seeing none, IETF Regext. I think that we have Jim Galvin here today. I think he's here. Actually, he's here twice on my screen. So, we have twice the Jim, twice the fun. Jim, do you have any comments on Regext?

JIM GALVIN: Yeah. So, thanks much. The other one should have left, but who knows? Internet problems, [you know, we switch things.]

Regext. I think probably the most important thing to say here is there'll be a meeting with Tech Ops next week, a joint meeting. We're going to focus on talking about registry/registrar reporting. So, it's not any RDAP stuff.

But our IETF 107 virtual meeting this month of April, what the IETF did when they cancelled the physical meeting was they took their agenda and the way they dealt with turning it into a virtual meeting was they took all of the working groups that were going to meet in the four days, Monday through Thursday, and they split them up into I think four a day over the entire month of April and gave everybody a Webex slot of two hours.

And our Regext meeting will be not next week but the week after, it will be the 27th on Monday. we will be having our Regext meeting. And there are some RDAP documents in there that will be on our agenda. So, you can look for that, hopefully folks here are on the Regext list and you will have seen the announcement for that and should pay attention to that. And if you've never used Webex, you might want to get a little ahead of that game and make sure you're all set up to use it. That's just the IETF choice of technology that it uses.

I think that's probably it. I mean, I could run down all the documents if you want but I think I've done that the last few times I've talked and there really hasn't been any change. So, we'll just leave it at that for right now. Thanks.

RICK WILHELM: Very good. Thanks, Jim, and certainly would encourage folks to be able to find time on their calendars to join that Regext meeting. If you need coordinates for that, certainly contact Jim and he can certainly provide those for you.

Moving on to anybody else have any questions or comments for Jim on Regext? Very good, seeing none, on the RDAP RA and RAA amendment, that term sheet language document is out for review and feedback at both the registry stakeholder group and the registrar stakeholder group. So, by now both the registries and registrars should've seen that on the list. So, I would encourage you to check in with whoever is monitoring that for you on your side and provide feedback on that front so that's moving along. And then there's going to be collection of that feedback

and possible iteration. And so, that's currently in the works. So, moving along on that one.

Any questions on that one? Seeing none, ICANN feedback on naming services [portable] possible updates regarding the progress for making changes to the NSP. I see Karla's here. Karla, any updates on that from ICANN IT? Nada, she says. So, no updates there. Very good, thank you Karla. And question on any other business. Anybody have any other topics they'd like to bring up before we wrap? All right, not seeing any. I thought that Scott had pinged me offline … There's Scott. Scott has his hand up. Scott, please go ahead.

SCOTT HOLLENBECK: Sorry, Rick, I was trying to find the raise hand button.

RICK WILHELM: There you go.

SCOTT HOLLENBECK: Anyway. So, for those of you who are interested, you may recall that there had been a registration operations workshop scheduled to be co-resident with the GDD Summit and the DNS Symposium and DNSO OARC in May. Most of those events were cancelled or deferred. But it turns out we had some support from the potential community of participants to do the registration operations workshop virtually. And as a result, the planning committee met yesterday and we decided that we're going to go ahead with a virtual workshop on June 16th. Details are still being worked out but we're working on communications to

EN

send to all the usual suspect mailing lists. We will be reopening the call for presentations. So, if folks have interesting topics that they might like to either present or convene as part of a panel, we will have an opportunity to reconsider proposals. Watch your email. And, as I said, those communications should be going out shortly. Thank you.

RICK WILHELM:

All right. June 16th, that's good news, I think. And then we'll be looking for obviously the key question is going to be time zone but I think we'll stand by and wait on that and duration and whatnot. But yes, call for presentations and so hopefully we'll get some good presentations there. Looking forward to that. And thanks, Scott, for that. We'll look forward to it on email.

Anybody else have any other things on the AOB front? Seeing none, all right. I think then just a quick calendar check. Two weeks from today, according to my calendar, would be the 30th of April. And so we are on the docket for then, meeting two weeks from today. So, we will look forward to getting everybody together then and we'll see what the land of RDAP brings at that point.

And so, I think then, unless there are any other questions, comments, concerns, we'll look for hands. Seeing none, Sue, I think you can take us out.

SUE SCHULER:

Thanks, Rick. Michelle, please end the recording.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]